

Fill Unit	Overall score (0,1, or 2)	Reviewer	Box Plots	Q-Q Plots	Rounds of excavation	Gamma scan or static concerns	On vs offsite lab	Time Series	Suspect name (1=Yes, 0=no)	Name, if suspect	Name, if not suspect	Signs of falsifying (1=Yes, 0=no)	Signs of falsification summary	Failure to follow workplan (1=Y, 0=N)	Signs of failure to follow workplan	Comments - Other	CDPH Recommendation
E5281	0	NB	ok	ok	2	Bias sample locations are noted, but there is no indication which sample corresponds to which gamma measurement, so comparison to analytical results is not feasible, but overall the variation in gamma scan results appears reasonable compared with the variation in analytical results.	2 samples sent offsite. The results are consistent but, onsite K-40 ~3 Xs lower than the offsite result.	None	Name not provided			0		1	Gamma static and scan surveyor is not listed in the SUPR	Offsite lab mass not reported. Results are within expected range of concentrations at Hunters Point and do not directly indicate data falsification. Possible data quality issues.	
E5285	2	NB	Bi-214 shows low variability; Ac-228, K-40, & Cs-137 has unusual variability between Bias, Char and FSS samples.	K-40 results indicate possible multiple populations, Ac -228 and Bi-214 may also have multiple populations	1	Bias sample locations are noted, but there is no indication which sample corresponds to which gamma measurement, so comparison to analytical results is not feasible, but considering that the average gamma scan results exceed the investigation level and only a small percentage of the unit was remediated, it appears the scan results are not consistent with the analytical results.	The K-40 results for sample 61 disagree – Offsite results was - 0.328, while onsite result was 3.7967; The K-40 results for sample 55 are also off by >100% with an onsite result of 9.2863 and an offsite result of 4.48.	1 Final systematic sample has result at or below 0.	Name not provided			0		1	Gamma static and scan surveyor is not listed in the SUPR	Results are within expected range of concentrations. negative Ac-228 data point appears to be the result of poor data quality.	